

# Strategic Leadership for Academic Excellence: Insights from Moroccan and Global Higher Education Practices

Ahmed Legrouri

Research & Global Scientific Engagement Office, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University (UM6P), Morocco

legrouri@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0001-5439-2757

## Abstract

In the face of global shifts toward the knowledge economy, the Moroccan higher education (HE) is undergoing a profound transformation. Guided by the constitutional mandates and the international frameworks, there is an urgent need to speed up the move to dynamic strategic leadership. This transition is essential for fulfilling the objectives of the national plan for accelerating the transformation of the HE system. This paper investigates the critical pillars of strategic leadership; namely, vision alignment, participatory governance, and multi-dimensional stakeholder engagement, and their impact on achieving academic excellence. It explores how strategic leadership serves as the operational engine for institutional quality, resilience, and societal relevance. The paper highlights key dimensions of quality assurance, accreditation, and strategic planning. Ethical behavior across all stakeholder groups, faculty, administrators, students, and governing bodies, is emphasized as a cornerstone of sustainable academic excellence. The study concludes that strategic leadership is not merely a management style but a constitutional necessity for achieving equitable access and quality education in Morocco. It recommends the institutionalization of leadership development programs to bridge the gap and ensure the long-term sustainability of the system reforms.

**Keywords:** Strategic Leadership - Higher Education - Morocco - Sustainable Development Goals - Academic Excellence - Participatory Governance.

## 1. Introduction

The pursuit of educational excellence serves as the basis for both national prosperity and sustainable global development. In an era defined by knowledge economy, rapid technological advancement, and shifting geopolitical landscapes, the role of HE and scientific research (HE&SR) has transitioned to be a vital engine for economic, social, and political transformation (Salmi et al., 2009). The global community has solidified this commitment through the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Specifically, SDG 4 "Quality Education" aims to "ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all," by 2030 (UN, 2015; Bond et al., 2026). The SDG 4.3 target aims "to ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational, and tertiary education, including university." This change highlights the necessity for strategic leadership that prioritizes inclusivity and accessibility. As HE leaders navigate challenges, such as declining enrollment, shrinking funding, and the impacts of geopolitics, the pursuit of academic excellence through innovative leadership practices has become increasingly crucial (Davies & Davies, 2010).

In the Kingdom of Morocco, the right to education is not merely a social objective but a constitutional mandate. The Moroccan Constitution of 2011 explicitly outlines the state's responsibility toward its citizens in Title II "Fundamental Freedoms and Rights" (Kingdom of Morocco, 2011). Specifically, Article 31 mandates that "the State, public institutions, and local authorities shall work toward mobilizing all available means to facilitate equal access for all female and male citizens to conditions that allow them to enjoy the right to a modern, accessible, and quality education". Furthermore, Article 32 reinforces this by establishing "basic education as a right of the child and an obligation of the family and the State". This legal framework underscores Morocco's commitment to human capital as the primary lever for national progress and social equity.

Compliance with national mandates and international standards necessitates a shift in institutional focus. The management of HE institutions (HEIs) must leverage strategic leadership to align resources and culture, pivoting from managing basic access to championing a resilient vision of academic excellence. This multifaceted concept is not well defined in academic publications as it relates to social, cultural, political, and economic environments (AlMarwani, 2023). This paradigm encompasses sustained intellectual rigor, the development of interpersonal skills, and the delivery of impactful global knowledge through the integration of high-quality research and teaching.

Achieving these standards in diverse and evolving educational landscapes requires strategic leadership more than simply administrative management. This proactive mode of governance serves as a catalyst for elevating institutional enhancement. Ultimately, it ensures that institutions can navigate the complexities of academia while remaining resilient and relevant within a competitive global environment. Leadership styles, such as transformational, servant, and participative leadership, are essential in creating collaborative and supportive academic settings to further drive institutional success.

The significance of strategic leadership in HE extends beyond mere administrative effectiveness. It involves the cultivation of global leaders equipped to engage with cultural diversity and drive meaningful change. Effective governance, characterized by shared decision-making and alignment with broader educational goals, is paramount in sustaining the public good that HE embodies. Moreover, to stay resilient and competitive, strategic leaders must successfully manage key modern challenges: diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives, financial constraints, ethical integrity, and digital transformation (Kezar & Lester, 2011).

This paper explores the constitutional imperatives of quality education, aligns these goals with international developmental frameworks, and underscores the central role of strategic leadership in achieving academic excellence. It delineates a multidimensional approach to institutional growth based on four structural pillars:

- Strategic leadership: Moving beyond centralized oversight toward participatory and transformational models that empower faculty, staff, and students.
- Strategic planning and institutional effectiveness: Defining long-term vision and goals, evaluating how well the organization meets its mission, and providing data-driven evidence to measure progress and improve outcomes.
- Quality assurance (QA) and accreditation (QA&A): Implementing rigorous internal and external evaluation cycles to foster a self-sustaining culture of quality.
- Ethical governance: Establishing honesty, transparency, and accountability as the non-negotiable foundations of academic life.

## 2. Theoretical Frameworks for Strategic Leadership

Strategic leadership in HE combines specific principles and practices to drive institutional excellence and operational effectiveness. Because HEIs face a unique mix of academic, financial, and social challenges, leaders must adapt their styles to fit a complex landscape. Current literature often examines this through four primary theoretical lenses that help balance the historical collegial traditions of academia with contemporary pressures (Bolden et al., 2011).

- **Transformational leadership** shifts the focus from simple transactions to a shared institutional vision that inspires faculty and staff to look beyond personal interests (Bass & Riggio, 2006). The leaders function as mentors and catalysts for growth, significantly enhancing the self-efficacy of their subordinates. This style often incorporates elements of **servant leadership** that emphasizes the well-being and professional maturity of the academic community as the foundation for success (Greenleaf, 2002).
- **Adaptive leadership** focuses on professional identity rather than just technical logistics. Major shifts, such as digital transformation, new regulations, or educational technology, can disrupt an individual's sense of self-worth. Leading effectively requires a secure transition space where transparency and psychological safety are prioritized (Sott & Bender, 2025).
- **Distributed leadership** decentralizes power to enhance decision-making and institutional agility by leveraging the collective cognitive capacity of the institution. In an era of rapid technological and demographic shifts, this collaborative approach is essential for long-term sustainability and faculty endorsement (Zaghmout & Harrison, 2025).
- **Autocratic leadership** is generally incongruous with collegial norms of academic life. It serves as a tool during specific situations, such as severe crises or restructuring, rather than a sustainable long-term strategy. The reliance on this model frequently erodes institutional trust and creates toxic power dynamics (Kaygin, 2024).

Mintzberg (2009) conceptualizes management as a triangle balancing art (vision), craft (experience), and science (analysis). He criticizes HE, specifically MBA programs, for focusing almost exclusively on science, thereby endangering the crucial elements of art and craft in modern leadership development.

## 3. Pillars of Strategic Leadership in Higher Education

### 3.1. Integration of Governance, Resilience, and Stakeholder Relations

Strategic leadership in HE aligns individual talent with a shared institutional vision, balancing decisive action with empathetic diplomacy to address the competing needs of students, faculty, staff, and the public. Rather than mere managers, effective leaders act as architects of human potential (Kezar, 2012). Modern leadership has shifted from top-down mandates to a multidimensional approach that protects three pillars: global prestige, academic freedom, and societal duty. Research indicates that participatory governance creates a sense of ownership that fuels higher engagement compared to autocratic styles (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017). This collaborative spirit helps institutions navigate disruptions like financial shifts and the rise of hybrid learning. Leaders must bridge internal (involving stakeholders in decision-making) and external (building partnerships) fronts.

### 3.2. Bridging Institutional Mandates with Cultural Competence

Cultural competence is a foundational requirement for strategic success. Leaders must engage in critical self-reflection to identify subconscious biases, moving to a style that celebrates diversity (Chun & Evans, 2018). In a globalized academy, leaders act as cultural translators, embedding equity into the institutional character rather than relying on symbolic gestures. Culturally competent leadership links diversity to academic rigor and provides the psychological safety necessary for deep research. This approach ensures that campus policies and international partnerships are genuinely empowering rather than performative (Kruse et al., 2018).

### 3.3. Best Practices in Strategic Leadership

Institutional success depends on executing specific high-leverage practices that bridge theory and daily academic life. Some characteristics of academic leaders are visionary communication, participative decision-making, external engagement, and implementation under ambiguity. The observation of four groups of school leaders over four years allowed Quong & Walker (2010) to identify seven fundamental principles for high-level guidance: future-focused, evidence-based, action-oriented, boundary-spanning (looking beyond institutional silos), wellness-centric, collaborative, and ethical integrity.

To circumvent strategic failures and maintain quality, leaders must avoid the seven deadly sins of quality management implementation (Seyfried & Reith, 2019) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Trade-offs in HEI quality management

Sin	Manifestation	Trade-off
Gluttony	Excessive formalization and bureaucracy	Professionalization vs. de-professionalization
Wrath	Over-standardization	Reductionism vs. complexity
Envy	Obsessive benchmarking	High competition vs. low competition
Lust	Hyper-control and scrutiny	Control vs. avoidance
Greed	Self-interest and maximization	Altruism vs. egoism
Sloth	Deficient coordination and communication	Networks vs. silo mentality
Pride	Rigid hierarchy	Self-governance vs. management

## 4. Quality Assurance and Accreditation: A Strategic Imperative

QA&A have evolved from administrative formalities into vital instruments for institutional survival and global prestige. Strategic leadership bridges institutional vision and measurable impact by aligning financial, human, and digital capital. By fostering a culture of quality, leaders embed excellence as a shared organizational value rather than a top-down mandate (Vu, 2025). This is operationalized through benchmarks and frameworks that provide the metrics needed to close gaps in research, pedagogy, and governance (Miranda, 2025). At the heart of this transformation is the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle. This continuous improvement loop integrates self-assessment, peer review, and stakeholder feedback to keep the institution synchronized with its mission and market pressures (Nguyen et al., 2023).

Modern leaders utilize Governance 4.0 and predictive analytics to move from intuitive to data-informed decision-making. By tracking key performance indicators (KPIs), such as student retention, employability, indexed research, and digitalization, leaders monitor growth with transparency (Knight & Yorke, 2003). Ultimately, strategic leaders must frame accreditation as a catalyst for innovation rather than a punitive measure. This ensures stakeholders view quality systems as a means of professional growth and sustained academic integrity (Serrano et al., 2025).

## **5. Ethics and Integrity as Foundations for Excellence**

Academic excellence is inextricably linked to an institution's ethical climate. Beyond rankings, a university's value is anchored in its commitment to the rule of law and professional integrity. An ethical culture builds the trust necessary for sustainable development and socially responsible performance (Ahmed, 2023).

### **5.1. Cultivating an Ethical Culture: Integrity as a Habit**

A true ethical culture is sustained by a shared commitment to honesty in research, fairness in evaluation, and respect for diverse perspectives. Academic literature suggests that integrity must be internalized as an academic habit rather than mere compliance. This internal shift safeguards the university's global reputation and builds the relational trust needed to attract top-tier faculty and students (Liuta et al., 2025).

### **5.2. The Rule of Law and Collective Responsibility**

To practice these values, a university must function as a transparent ecosystem governed by the rule of law. This requires robust mechanisms like codes of conduct, ethics committees, and integrity plans (Mulenga & Shilongo, 2024). Maintaining this environment is a collective responsibility:

- Students: Uphold academic honesty to combat plagiarism and generative AI (GenAI) challenges.
- Faculty: Act as guardians of scholarly inquiry and ethical models in teaching, research, and service.
- Administrators: Ensure transparency in recruitment and resource allocation to prevent favoritism.
- Governing Bodies: Align institutional policies with legal frameworks to protect the university's mission.

By maintaining a zero-tolerance policy for misconduct, leadership ensures a secure, rule-based environment where all stakeholders can thrive (Dzimińska et al., 2018).

## **6. Challenges to Strategic Leadership**

The shift from traditional administration to dynamic strategic leadership is often a journey through significant structural and cultural hurdles. Today's HE leaders operate in a world defined by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). In this environment, success requires more than just a sharp mind for data. It requires a nuanced understanding of how to balance global pressures with the very real human resistance found within HEIs.

### **6.1. From Compliance to Innovation**

Leaders today must balance legal constraints with institutional growth. Growing the number of regulations means a single compliance failure can trigger legal penalties and reputational damage (Mazzarol & Soutar, 2023). Consequently, leaders must evolve from passive administrators into policy entrepreneurs who help shape the laws governing education rather than merely reacting to them.

The primary barrier to growth is often bureaucratic inertia. Leaders must act as empathetic change agents, guiding staff through disruptive transitions like the integration of GenAI or new pedagogical models. True strategic leadership requires transformational tactics that shift institutional culture from checking boxes to a mindset of agility and performance (Kezar, 2018), ensuring institutions emerge more resilient.

## **6.2. Toward Inclusive Excellence: DEI and Gender Equity**

In the modern academy, diversity is a strategic necessity. Leaders must balance internal equity commitments with a volatile sociopolitical landscape (Rana et al., 2025). Inclusivity should be treated as a core component of excellence, creating leadership models that empower diverse voices. By acting as bridge-builders, leaders align institutional values with the lived experiences of a global community (Correa et al., 2025).

A critical frontier is dismantling barriers to gender equity in senior roles. Despite high female enrollment, vertical segregation persists, with executive positions disproportionately held by men. Strategic growth is often stifled by opaque promotion policies and traditional masculine leadership styles that exclude women from key informal networks (Bruckmüller et al., 2014; Souabni et al., 2026). To counter this, institutions must implement targeted mentorship and supportive work-life policies to retain top talent (Souabni et al., 2026), creating ecosystems where merit flourishes regardless of background (Niemi & Weaver-Hightower, 2020).

## **6.3. Financial Constraints and Resource Mobilization**

Shrinking public funding has made financial stewardship a priority. To ensure sustainability, leaders must diversify revenue through public-private partnerships (PPPs) and global grants, balancing immediate needs with long-term investments (Al-Maadeed & Yesuf, 2025). By 2026, HE reached a critical point; for example, UK domestic fees are now worth 26% less in real terms than in 2017 (Russell Group, 2025). Meanwhile, rising faculty and utility costs drove the HE Price Index up 3.6% in 2025 (Commonfund, 2025). Emerging strategies for resource mobilization include:

- Hybrid funding: Reducing tuition dependency; 86% of sustainable universities now derive significant income from international fees and commercial services (Lukács et al., 2025).
- Strategic PPPs: Leveraging partnerships for expansion. The University of California Merced, for instance, used international equity funding to double capacity while protecting its balance sheet (EY, 2020).
- Research grants: Using AI-driven analytics to target niche grants aligned with priorities like Green Growth and Governance 4.0 (SRO, 2025).

Ultimately, leaders must ensure that revenue diversification does not overshadow the core commitment to student success and public service (Serrano et al., 2025).

## **6.4. Technological Adaptation and Digital Fluency**

Rapid digital advancement is a double-edged sword, requiring leaders to possess high digital fluency to navigate modern HE. Institutions must adopt lean quality cultures, such as the Lean Univers framework, using digital infrastructure and Governance 4.0 to reduce administrative waste and optimize the student value chain (Stazi, 2026). By 2026, 86% of educational institutions are adopting GenAI technology (Microsoft, 2025). However, a fluency gap persists because many HEIs still lack formal policies while roughly 85% of staff and students use these tools (Laird et al., 2025; UNESCO, 2025). Modern governance requires:

- Adaptive policy frameworks: Iterative approaches that involve faculty and students in redesigning assessments (Marengo et al., 2025).
- Accountability and transparency: Moving to responsible AI models that audit for bias and data protection (Bertoncini et al., 2025).
- Cognitive and digital equity: Preventing cognitive debt from over-reliance on AI while ensuring universal AI literacy (UNESCO, 2025).

Ultimately, leaders must ensure digital fluency acts as a catalyst for innovation rather than institutional friction.

### 6.5. Balancing Local Mission with Global Prestige

A persistent tension exists between local socio-economic priorities, like workforce readiness, and the pressure to climb international rankings. By 2026, global prestige is increasingly earned through local contributions to the UN SDGs (THE, 2025). For example, the QS World University Rankings: Sustainability 2026 now weights social impact at 45% (QS, 2025). Strategic leaders need to reconcile these demands through:

- Dual-purpose research: Transforming community-specific problems into high-impact, globally cited research (YUFE, 2025).
- Workforce integration: Aligning curricula with local labor market needs (Portocarrero Ramos et al., 2025).
- Third mission governance: Using frameworks to monitor how incubators and consultancy drive regional economic progress (Vefago, 2020).

Eventually, the future of HE lies in a purpose-driven model where rankings are tools, not verdicts.

## 7. Strategic Leadership in the Moroccan HE Transformation

The theoretical frameworks of strategic leadership, ethics, and quality assurance find their most critical application in the ongoing restructuring of the Moroccan university system. By analyzing current reforms and the performance programs spearheaded by the Ministry of Higher Education, Scientific Research, and Innovation (MESRSI), a clear trajectory toward institutional excellence emerges.

### 7.1. Development of publications in Morocco

The volume of publications acts as a critical barometer of a nation’s intellectual vitality and soft power. Morocco has strengthened its academic infrastructure to elevate its international standing, a trend visible in its rapidly expanding research output. As of January 2026, a Scopus database search for “strategic leadership” within Moroccan affiliations yields 852 indexed documents (Scopus, 2026). This corpus has expanded exponentially from a single document in 2005 to 281 in 2025. Published overwhelmingly in English (98.5%), this output positions Morocco 36th globally, 8th among Arab nations, and 5th in Africa. Research is driven by strong international collaborations, notably with France and the UK (11% each). The data reveals a clear emphasis on social sciences’ share of the research output (59.4%) (Table 2). The challenge is not merely to increase volume, but to ensure this research is visible, impactful, and ultimately, both locally rooted and globally relevant (Squires et al., 2025).

**Table 2.** Distribution among the major subject areas of documents from Morocco

Major Subject Area	Share
Social Sciences	59.4%
Physical Sciences	33.7%
Health Sciences	3.6%
Life Sciences	1.4%
Multidisciplinary	1.9%

### 7.2. Fulfillment of Mandates and Institutional Autonomy

Effective leaders bridge the gap between policy and practice by aligning institutional missions with the Moroccan Constitution and SDG 4, ensuring “modern, accessible, and quality education” becomes a measurable reality. A critical evolution is the shift from state-led management to a model of remote steering. Pursuant to Law 01-00 (Article 4) and the Constitution (Article 46), universities are public entities endowed with legal personality and administrative and financial autonomy (MESRSI, 2026).

Morocco has refined national accreditation standards through collaborations with regional agencies like the European Association for QA in HE (ENQA) the Harmonization and QA&A in Africa (HAQAA3), and the Arab Network (ANQAHE). The National Agency for Evaluation and Quality Assurance (ANEAQ), created in 2014, evaluates the system through program accreditation, external institutional audits, and the equivalence of diplomas. Furthermore, the Moroccan HE Leadership (MORHEL) project, launched in 2023 in partnership with the European Union, aims to enhance governance capacity by creating a National Leadership Development Center (NCLD) to certify university administrators (MORHEL, 2026).

**7.3. Performance Programs: Strategic Pillars**

Morocco’s 2015 legislative reforms shifted focus toward managerial accountability and fiscal efficiency. This framework mandates the rationalization of expenditure through reporting anchored in quantitative performance metrics (MEF, 2015; Amirou, 2016). Ministries are now required to prepare three-year budgets (2026–2028) that include performance objectives and indicators. Since 2024, the MESRSI’s performance strategy is structured into four distinct programs and fourteen objectives (Table 3), with specific ministry officials held accountable for meeting clearly defined indicators and sub-indicators (MEF, 2026).

**Table 3.** Performance program of the MESRSI in 2026

Programs	Person in charge	Objectives	Indicators	Sub-indicators
Management and governance	Director of Budget and General Affairs	* Optimize resource access * Develop human capital * Foster administrative excellence	6	1
Higher education	Director of Higher Education and Pedagogical Development	* Expand educational access * Diversify quality training * Boost system performance * Enhance graduate employability	12	6
Scientific and technological research	Director of Scientific Research and Innovation	* Boost research impact * Commercialize research outcomes * Optimize infrastructure sharing	8	1
Social support to students	Director of the National Office for University Social and Cultural Affairs	* Expand scholarship access * Scale student housing * Provide affordable catering * Broaden medical coverage	5	2

**7.4. The Leadership Gap and the Way Forward**

Strategic leadership is vital to the National Plan for Accelerating the Transformation of HESRI (PACTE ESRI 2030) (Benhima, 2025). These frameworks elevate human capital as the backbone of the Kingdom’s new development model. High levels of leadership correlate with increased institutional resilience. By involving faculty and students in participatory governance, leaders foster an environment that navigates competitive global pressures while remaining anchored in a social mission. The

MESRSI performance program serves as a stress test, requiring presidents and deans to become visionary change agents capable of balancing social mandates with academic excellence.

## 8. Conclusion and Recommendations

The synthesis of Morocco's constitutional mandates (Article 31), the PACTE ESRI 2030, and SDG 4 confirms that strategic leadership is the essential catalyst for educational reform. To bridge the gap between policy and reality, HEIs must transition from administrative inertia to a governance model defined by responsible autonomy, digital agility, and quality culture. By evolving into socio-economic hubs, universities can transform knowledge into national innovation and regional progress.

To implement this strategy, the following actions are proposed for the MESRSI and university governing bodies:

1. Professional leadership and ethical governance
  - Institutionalize training: Accelerate the NCLD to provide certified training in strategic planning and change management for academic leaders.
  - Cultivate ethics: Reinforce accountability and integrity to ensure the rule of law prevails over bureaucratic stagnation.
2. Digital transformation and lean operations
  - Use Governance 4.0 and predictive analytics to shift toward performance-based evaluation of research and employability.
  - Adopt lean quality culture by implementing contextualized models to minimize administrative waste and optimize the student value chain.
3. Territorial innovation and stakeholder synergy
  - Reinforce regional centers of excellence and incentivize universities to align research and training with regional economic priorities.
  - Build resilient and adaptable structures to safeguard the long-term educational mission across generations from global volatility
4. Equity and inclusiveness
  - Promote gender equity and women promotion to senior positions through targeted mentorship and gender-sensitive policies.
  - Enhance cultural diversity by fostering an inclusive environment that enriches the academic experience and prepares graduates for a globalized world.

## References

- Ahmed, E.I. (2023). A systematic review of ethical leadership studies in educational research from 1990 to 2022. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 53(4), 769-788. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432231193251>
- Al-Maadeed, S.A. & Yesuf, A.J. (2025). Exploring Financing Mechanisms for Entrepreneurial Universities: A Systematic Review of Current Practices and Future Trends. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 14, e2025074. <https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.14.74>
- AlMarwani M. (2023) Academic Excellence Framework: Towards Sustainable Growth, *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 20(6), 06. <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.6.6>

- Amirou, R. (2016). La nouvelle loi organique relative à la loi de finances et la gouvernance financière publique au Maroc: une analyse critique. *Revue Marocaine d'Audit et de Développement*. <https://hal.science/hal-01929779>
- Bass, B.M. & Riggio, R.E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership*. New York, USA: Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095>
- Benhima, M. (2025). The National Plan to Accelerate the Transformation of the Moroccan Higher Education, Scientific Research, and Innovation System (Pacte ESRI 2030): Trends and Future Orientations, *The Journal of Quality in Education*, 15(26), 162-181. <https://doi.org/10.37870/joqie.v15i26.465>
- Bertoncini, A.L., Matsushita, R. & Da Silva, S. (2025). AI, Ethics, and Cognitive Bias: An LLM-Based Synthetic Simulation for Education and Research. *AI in Education*, 1(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/aieduc1010003>
- Bolden, R., Hawkins, B., Gosling, J. & Taylor, S. (2011). *Exploring leadership: Individual, organizational and societal perspectives*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Bond, A., Morrison-Saunders, A. & Pope, J. (2026). Sustainability assessment: The state of the art in 2026. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2025.2593204>
- Bruckmüller, S., Ryan, M.K., Rink, F. & Haslam, S.A. (2014). Beyond the glass ceiling: The glass cliff and its lessons for women leaders. *British Journal of Management*, 25(2), 202-219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12006>
- Chun, E. & Evans, A. (2018). *Leading a Diversity Culture Shift in Higher Education: Comprehensive Organizational Learning Strategies*, New York, USA: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315210360>
- Commonfund. (2025). *2025 Higher Education Price Index (HEPI) Report*. <https://www.commonfund.org>
- Correa, A., Glas, M.G. & Opara, J. (2025). Females in higher education and leadership: Insights from a multi-method approach. *Frontiers in Education*, 9:1485395. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1485395>
- Davies, B. & Davies, B.J. (2010). Developing a Strategic Leadership Perspective. In: Davies, B., Brundrett, M. (eds) *Developing Successful Leadership*. Studies in Educational Leadership, vol 11. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9106-2\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9106-2_2)
- Dzimińska, M., Fijałkowska, J. & Sułkowski, Ł. (2018). Trust-Based Quality Culture Conceptual Model for Higher Education Institutions. *Sustainability*, 10(8), 2599. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082599>
- EY. (2020). *Public-private partnerships in higher education: What is right for your institution?* London, UK: Ernst & Young Global Limited. <https://www.ey.com>
- Greenleaf, R.K. (2002). *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness*. Mahwah, NJ, USA: Paulist Press.
- Kaygin, E. (2024). Autocratic leadership style in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 1(6), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.61306/ejahss.v1i6.10405>
- Kezar, A. & Lester, J. (2011). *Enhancing Campus Capacity for Leadership*. Redwood City, CA, USA: Stanford University Press.
- Kezar, A. (2012). Bottom-Up/Top-Down Leadership: Contradiction or Hidden Phenomenon. *The Journal of Higher Education Policy*, 30(5), 725-760. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23256883>

- Kezar, A. (2018). *How Colleges Change: Understanding, Leading, and Facilitating Change*. Oxfordshire, UK: Routledge.
- Kezar, A.J. & Holcombe, E.M. (2017). *Shared Leadership in Higher Education: Important Lessons from Research and Practice*. Washington, DC: American Council on Education.
- Kingdom of Morocco. (2011). The Constitution. *Bulletin Officiel*, n° 5964 bis. <https://www.maroc.ma/fr/le-maroc/constitution>
- Knight, P. & Yorke, M. (2003). *Assessment, Learning and Employability*. McGraw-Hill Education, London, UK. <https://www.mheducation.co.uk>
- Krusea, S.D., Rakhaa, S. & Calderone, S. (2018). Developing cultural competency in higher education: an agenda for practice, *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(6), 733–750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1414790>
- Laird, L., Dwyer, M. & Quay-de la Vallee. H. (2025). *Hand-in-hand: Schools' embrace of AI connected to increased risks to students*. Washington, DC, USA: Center for Democracy & Technology. <https://cdt.org>
- Liuta, O., Deineka, O., Artyukhova, N., Hrytsenko, L. & Katheryna, D. (2025). Academic integrity as a determinant of higher education quality assurance. *Socio-economic Relations in the Digital Society*, 1(55), 96-105. <https://doi.org/10.55643/ser.1.55.2025.599>
- Lukács, E., Filep, B., Kohus, Z. & Szabó, S. (2025). The revenue diversification of top-tier higher education institutions. *Journal of International Studies*, 18(4), 86106. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2025/18-4/5>
- Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Lund, B. & Santamato, V. (2025). Research AI: integrating AI and gamification in higher education for e-learning optimization and soft skills assessment through a cross-study synthesis. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 7, 1587040. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2025.1587040>
- Mazzarol, T. & Soutar, G. (2003). The Global Market for Higher Education: Sustainable Competitive Strategies for the New Millennium, *Economics of Education Review*, 22(6). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7757\(03\)00024-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7757(03)00024-4)
- MEF. (2015). *Loi organique n° 130-13 relative à la loi de finances*. <https://www.finances.gov.ma>
- MEF. (2026). *Projet de performance 2026: MESRSI*. <https://lof.finances.gov.ma>
- MESRSI. (2026). *Loi 01-00 organisant le secteur de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique*. <http://www.enssup.gov.ma/en>
- Microsoft. (2025). *AI in education report: Insights to support teaching and learning*. *Microsoft News*. <https://cdn-dynmedia-1.microsoft.com>
- Mintzberg, H. (2009). *Managing*, Hoboken, NJ, USA: Financial Times Prentice Hall
- Miranda, F.J. (2025). Accreditation and quality assurance in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Quality in Higher Education*, 31(3), 304–320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2025.2553983>
- MORHEL. (2026). *Moroccan Higher Education Leadership Project*. <https://morhelproject.eu>
- Mulenga, R. & Shilongo, H. (2024). Academic integrity in higher education: Understanding and addressing plagiarism. *Acta Pedagogica Asiana*, 3(1), 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.53623/apga.v3i1.337>
- Nguyen, V., Chau, C.K.B. & Tran, T. (2023). PDCA from Theory to Effective Applications: A Case Study of Design for Reducing Human Error in Assembly

- Process, *Advances in Operations Research*, Article ID 8007474.  
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/8007474>
- Niemi, N.S. & Weaver-Hightower, M.B. (2020). *The Wiley Handbook of Gender Equity in Higher Education*, Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119257639>
- Portocarrero Ramos, H.C., Cruz Caro, O., Sánchez Bardales, E., Quiñones Huatangari, L., Campos Trigoso, J.A., Maicelo Guevara, J.L. & Chávez Santos, R. (2025). Artificial intelligence skills and their impact on the employability of university graduates. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 8:1629320.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2025.1629320>
- QS, Quacquarelli Symonds. (2026). *QS World University Rankings: Sustainability 2026*. <https://www.topuniversities.com/sustainability-rankings>
- Quong, T. & Walker, A. (2010). Seven principles of strategic leadership, *International Studies in Educational Administration*, 38(1), 22-34
- Rana, K.S., Alvey, E., Flavell, C.R., Gough, J. & Mahomed, A. (2025). Editorial: Advancing equity: exploring EDI in Higher Education Institutes. *Frontiers in Education*, 10:1621185. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1621185>
- Russell Group. (2025). *University tuition fees now worth just two-thirds of their value in real terms, new analysis shows*. <https://www.russellgroup.ac.uk>
- Salmi, J. (2009). *The challenge of establishing world-class universities*, Washington, DC, USA: World Bank. <http://documents.worldbank.org>
- Scopus. (2026). <http://www.scopus.org>
- Serrano, O.M.E., Gonzalez, F.J.M. & Mourato, J.A.B. (2025). Determinants of the effectiveness of quality assurance systems and institutional performance in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 12(1), 2581411.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2581411>
- Seyfried, M. & Reith, F. (2019). The Seven Deadly Sins of Quality Management in Higher Education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 25(3), 289–303.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2019.1683943>
- Sott, M.K. & Bender, M.S. (2025) The Role of Adaptive Leadership in Times of Crisis: A Systematic Review and Conceptual Framework, *Merits*, 5(1), 2.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/merits5010002>
- Souabni, O., Elbouziany, A. & Ghourdou, T.(2026). Structural Barriers to Women's Leadership and Professional Development in Moroccan Higher Education. A Mixed-Method Study. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*. 8(1).226-238. <http://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v8i1.2422>
- Squires, A., Griffiths, P., Norman, I., Rosa, W.E. (2025). Think local, write global: Writing peer-reviewed research papers for an international audience, *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 163, 104998.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2025.104998>
- SRO, Scientify Research Solutions. (2025). *What changed in 2025 for research funders and what to expect in 2026*. <https://www.scientifyresearch.org>
- Stazi, A. (2026). University in the AI era. *Journal of Digital Educational Technology*, 6(1), ep2601. <https://doi.org/10.30935/jdet/17488>
- THE, Times Higher Education. (2025). *University Impact Rankings 2025*.  
<https://www.timeshighereducation.com/impactrankings>
- UN, United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. <http://sdgs.un.org/goals>

- UNESCO. (2025). *AI and the future of education: disruptions, dilemmas and directions*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org>
- Vefago, Y.B., Trierweiller, A.C. & Barcellos de Paula, L. (2020), The third mission of universities: the entrepreneurial university, *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 17(4), e2020971. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2020.042>
- Vu, N. T. (2025). The role of university leadership in managing strategic quality development in higher education. *International Journal of Humanities, Literature and Arts*, 8(1), 56-62. <https://doi.org/10.21744/ijhla.v8n1.2430>
- YUFE, Young Universities for the Future of Europe. (2025). *University-Community Collaboration: Strengthening Local Engagement and Global Connections*. <https://www.yufe.eu>
- Zaghmout, Z. & Harrison, C. (2025). Distributed leadership: a systematic literature review, *Strategy & Leadership*, 53(3), 299-320, <https://doi.org/10.1108/SL-10-2024-0119>